EDITORIAL

In and Beyond This Issue

After being included in TSSCI, *JoEMLS* has got more submissions from all over Taiwan for this volume (47, Volume 2). We recognized the positive effect of being included in TSSCI, yet it also means that a higher quality of submissions is requested. As a result, we are cautiously looking forward to seeing the changes it might bring, such as the development of the journals of Library and Information Science (LIS), the benefits of writers, and the reform that TSSCI may conduct in the future. Relative to the number of researchers, there are numerous journals of LIS in Taiwan, and TSSCI's attraction to submissions is truly powerful.

The sources of submissions come from academics (scholars or postgraduates) and practitioners (librarians). How to prove the articles in TSSCI are better than others? In addition, the journals included in TSSCI are relatively few but the submissions are numerous, we cannot evaluate an article just because it is included in TSSCI. Furthermore, we should also not merely judge a researcher's academic achievement because of each journal's choice. Nowadays, TSSCI has brought some effects on academic field in Taiwan. Therefore, it should reform its standard of selecting submissions, implementing "Citation Index", and distinguishing their citation index function from "excellent journal" in order to make real contribution to the academic field.

This Volume picked four articles among nine; the rate of rejection is 55.5%. A book review is also included, so there were actually ten articles being selected from. The chosen five respectively are: Mei-Mei Wu (吳美美) and Foster's research of collaborative group investigation; In-Lin Hu (胡英麟), Ping Wang (王萍), Chen-Chi Chang (張陳基), and Kuang-Liang Liu's (劉廣亮) exploration of the importance of group identity which is formed significantly by suitable work design and assignment; Jiann-Cherng Shieh (謝建成) and Chih-Feng Liu's (劉至逢) research which proves that by evaluating librarian websites, users' satisfaction toward the website and the efficiency have increased; Lin Ching Chen (林菁) and Chia-Hui Lee's (李佳憓) research which provides solutions toward the problems of the collaboration of elementary school librarian teachers and class teachers for class design combining Information Literacy; Liyun Hu's (胡立耘) book review which concludes the problems of Intellectual freedom derived from many utopian and dystopian fictions. These articles are expected to make some inspiration for our readers.

Jeong-Yeou Chiu

JoEMLS Editor

編者言

本期紀要與展望

　　在教育資料與圖書館學（*JoEMLS*）成為了TSSCI所收錄期刊後的這一期（47卷2期），我們似乎發現TSSCI「加持」之正面效應。它讓本刊的台灣投稿件數成長了，但也讓我們所委請的評閱者更嚴格要求稿件的學術品質。值此際，我們莫不以戒慎恐懼、臨深履薄之心情看待未來事情的演變，例如：圖書資訊學期刊的發展、作者之權益，以及將來TSSCI改革契機。相對於研究寫作人口而言，台灣圖資學期刊數量相當多，而TSSCI期刊的稿件磁吸作用有多大？圖資學領域稿源可來自學界（學者與研究生）與實務界（圖書館館員）等，我們如何充分證明TSSCI期刊與非TSSCI期刊之稿件良莠有別？尤其當某一學門僅只一本「入圍」TSSCI期刊時，在刊寡（獨）稿眾之情形下，試圖憑刊論定著名A學者於TSSCI期刊之文章必優於同為A學者於非TSSCI期刊之文章，將是何等失之武斷；而同樣著名的A與B兩位學者，若僅因不同期刊之抉擇，而便論定其學術成就，亦將是荒唐事一樁。時至今日，TSSCI在台灣學界已確實產生了一些影響，它就應該好好改革收錄標準與制度，以及落實「引文索引」（Citation Index）的功能，將「優良期刊」排序與「引文索引」期刊好好作個釐清，無私無盲作好學術期刊的公益。

　　本期從9篇學術文章中取其4篇，退稿率約為55.5%；此外，本期亦刊出書評專文一篇，截至本期發刊前，仍有10篇論文尚處於評閱過程中。本期論文包括了吳美美與Foster的小組合作資訊搜尋策略研究；胡英麟、王萍、張陳基、劉廣亮等探討了圖書館團體認同度的重要性，亦發現職務設計和工作任務的適當性實為關鍵；謝建成和劉至逢之研究證實大學圖書館網站進行使用性評估，確實能夠協助提昇使用者對圖書館網站之使用效率及使用性滿意度；林菁與李佳憓則就國小圖書老師與班級教師合作設計資訊素養融入教學所遭遇的問題，予以提出適當的解決策略；胡立耘則以書評形式，綜結多本烏托邦小說及反烏托邦小說所衍生出的知識自由問題。相信這些文章都可以給大家不少的啟發。

<div align="right">

邱　炯友

教育資料與圖書館學　**主編**

</div>