



EDITORIAL

In and Beyond This Issue

For this Issue 2, Volume 52 of *Journal of Educational Media and Library Sciences (JoEMLS)*, twelve manuscripts are reviewed; in which four are accepted and eight are rejected, with a rejection rate of 66.7%. The four research papers published in this issue include “Constructing Bibliographic Relationships of Journal Collections through FRBR and DOI” by Huei-Chu Chang, “Quotation Errors in the Articles of Library and Information Science Journals in Taiwan” by Hsuan-Hung Kao and Wen-Yau Cathy Lin, “Investigating the Structural and Textual Characteristics of Internet Physicians Review” by Ming-Hsin Phoebe Chiu and Chia-Ling Chang, and “A Study of the Evaluation Dimensions and Criteria for OpenCourseWare Websites” by Yi-Ju Wang and Chien Chou.

From the research topics appeared in our journal in recent years, we can see that there is a common research field between Library Science and Instructional Technology field; this means that scholars in the Library studies field have been keeping an open mind and acumen for integrating cross-field subjects to include Information Technology, Media study, and Educational/e-Learning topics. However, if we look closely at the small group of scholars in Information and Library Studies (ILS) in Taiwan, we can not help but worry about the deficiency of variety, depth, broadness and continuity of research topics in the ILS, which causes a crisis for the development of this subject field. This is an issue worth concerning and contemplating. When can we achieve the goal of building an ideal scholarly publishing environment that integrates scholars from Taiwan, China, Hongkong, Macau and other Chinese societies in the world? In such an academic platform without nation borders, as those international scholarly journals we are familiar with, we can see an integration of a single subject field with cross-field knowledge from a variety of languages, nations, and cultures. It is only in such a mutually beneficial environment that a healthy scholarly communication ground is possible.

At this moment in Taiwan we are facing a policy reform of the journal ranking system in humanities and social sciences field, with the Ministry of Science and Technology being in charge of this matter. We are curious about the future development. Whether TSSCI and THCI Core databases will be kept, or a journal ranking system in humanities and social sciences will be launched? And what is the official “core journal” database of humanities and social sciences in Taiwan? For a short term, Taiwan-based databases might not be able to index

those journals published in the Mainland China. If so, then how do we integrate Chinese-language journals from Hongkong, Macau, Singapore and other Chinese societies? We are wondering if the government unit, such as National Central Library, can take the actual and long-run responsibility of building the Citation Index of scholarly journals in Taiwan. Should we actively encourage (or treat fairly) private sectors in Taiwan to participate in development and competition of the scholarly journal database industry? These reform results will greatly influence the next decade's development of scholarly journal publishing industry, and thus a decisive factor of predicting the destiny of Taiwan's scholarly publishing performance in the world.

Jeong-Yeou Chiu
JoEMLS Chief Editor





編者言

本期紀要與展望

教育資料與圖書館學季刊52卷2期評閱編校作業期間，共計處理完成12篇稿件，僅收錄了其中4篇稿件，退稿篇數為8篇，退稿率計為66.7%。在一卷期所刊載的4篇研究論文，包括了：張慧銖「以FRBR模式及DOI編碼建立期刊書目關係之研究」、高瑄鴻與林雯瑤探討「台灣圖書資訊學期刊論文之文內引用錯誤研究」、邱銘心與張家翎針對「網路醫師評價之結構與內容特徵分析研究」，以及王蕙茹與周倩對於「開放式課程網站評鑑面向與指標建置之研究」等。

從近年來本刊所刊載的文章主題中，我們可能都已經能感受到圖資學門與教育科技學門之部分主題，愈來愈相近，也更突顯了圖資學門向來對於資訊、媒體、教育學習等新興議題，始終保有跨科際整合的學科特質與敏銳度。然而，如果單就台灣一地的圖資學者而言，由於其研究社群不大，相形之下，也容易顯現出捉襟見肘的困境，使得研究問題的題項、深度、廣度與持續性都產生缺陷，此情形對台灣圖資學門發展形成了學科危機，未來確實值得我們深思與關切。何時華文學術期刊的出版環境，可以確實達成台灣、中國大陸、港澳，以及全球華人學者的整合？使之無國界疆域之別，就如同大家所熟悉的國際學術期刊之樣貌，可以呈現跨語言、國別、文化，而是單一學術領域的統整，唯有在互惠互利的大環境下，健全的學術傳播園地才足以真正水到渠成。

台灣刻正面臨人文及社會科學期刊評比收錄制度的政策變革，台灣科技部政策主導下，未來究竟是維持TSSCI、THCI Core資料庫，或完全推動人社期刊評比制度為主？什麼又是台灣人社期刊的「核心期刊」資料庫？在短期目標上，除了暫時無法考量中國大陸學術期刊外，香港、澳門、新加坡等華文學術期刊如何整合在內？以及往後台灣學術期刊之Citation Index建置，是否能確實由政府單位（如：國家圖書館）永續經營？可否同時積極鼓勵（或公平對待）台灣民間機構參與學術期刊資料庫產業的開發與競爭？這些結果也將影響台灣學術期刊與產業未來十年間的發展局勢，而這未來十年，已定的大勢也就決定了興衰命運。

邱 炯友
教育資料與圖書館學 主編

