



EDITORIAL

***JoEMLS* to Launch an Open Peer Review Model—Features and Announcements**

In this very issue of *JoEMLS*, we officially launched the open peer review (OPR) model and named it “Open Point” in our system. The OPR model adopted in *JoEMLS* has been carefully planned and designed, with consideration of various factors, including the willingness of manuscript reviewers and authors, our journal’s decisive power of inviting submissions of manuscripts, design of forms of review opinions and responses, citation formats of review opinions and rebuttal letters, assigning of digital object identifier (DOI), planning of editorial workflow for adopting OPR in our journal, and the layout design of an OPR journal in digital format. From now on, we will keep working on improving measures regarding the OPR, and encouraging and rewarding participants in our OPR journal. We humbly know the success of OPR might not be gained with just one launch announcement, and we have following steps and patience for realizing the goal. We are pragmatic enough to know that the OPR is not only an innovative management model of scholarly publishing, but also a test of humanity, regarding how people communicate and interact, how people consider the benefits of the rewarding mode of submitting manuscripts, and how people balance between their inner dialectics and authority implementation. Therefore, the OPR model of our journal is internationally unique at this stage. We look forward to seeing more journals follow our steps and broaden the path in the future.

In this issue (Issue 2, Volume 56), thirteen manuscripts were received and reviewed, and five of them were accepted, with a rejection rate of 61.5%. The outstanding articles published in this issue include “An Exploratory Study of the English Articles on the Authorship in Library and Information Science” by Shu-Hwei Yeh and Ming-Yueh Tsay, “A Content Analysis of Online Physician Information” by Chia-Chi Lin and Ming-Hsin Phoebe Chiu, “Survey on the Thesis Legal Deposit and Authorization at University Libraries in Taiwan: Rethinking of Open Access and Industry Policy” by Jeong-Yeou Chiu and Yun-Wen Lee, “The Journey of a South American Scientific Journal: Its Quest for Global Publication” by Chris Fradkin, and “Open Access Journal Publishing in Sri Lanka: Characteristics and Future Potential” by W. M. Tharanga Dilruk Ranasinghe and Jun Min Chung.

In this issue we started with these two articles “A Content Analysis of Online Physician Information” and “Survey on the Thesis Legal Deposit and Authorization at University Libraries in Taiwan: Rethinking of Open Access and Industry Policy” to announce the launch of the OPR model, and look forward to having more positive feedbacks and interactions in the future, and collecting more articles with OPR opinions and responses. We are leading and marching toward a new era of adopting OPR models in academic journals.

Jeong-Yeou Chiu
JoEMLS Chief Editor





編者言

教育資料與圖書館學 啟動「開放式同儕評閱」制度：特色與宣告

我們就在這一卷期正式啟動「開放式同儕評閱」(Open Peer Review, 以下簡稱OPR)制度了,我們將此機制命名為「開放觀點」。教育資料與圖書館學(本刊)所採OPR制度經過縝密籌劃與設計,考慮了諸多因素,包括有:論文評閱者與論文作者本人的開放意願、本刊維持邀請之主導權、意見暨答辯內容之表單設計、意見暨答辯主文之引文格式制定、數位物件識別碼(DOI)之給予,以及本刊OPR編務行政流程之規劃、電子版OPR版面設計等;而從現在直至未來,更應持續積極思考OPR改進措施,以及如何增加誘因並獎勵本刊OPR參與者。OPR的成功並不急於一時,我們有步驟性與耐性將逐步實現這項理想,我們很務實地認識學術期刊OPR制度是一種學術出版創新經營模式之外,更是一項人性的考驗,考驗著人性的溝通與互動模式、投稿行為報酬模式的效益認定,以及自我辯證與權威貫徹之間的平衡;因此,我們認為每一卷期若至少能有一篇論文接受採用OPR模式,即屬成功。本刊OPR的典範是國際獨一的,未來是否會有更多其他期刊的學習模仿與拓展,我們都樂觀其成。

本刊此卷期(56卷2期)截至6月底計處理完成13篇稿件,並確認僅接受其中5篇文章刊登,退稿率達61.5%。本卷期刊登大作包括:葉淑慧、蔡明月的「歐美圖書資訊學領域『作者群研究』主題之英文學術論文探析」;林家圻、邱銘心發表「網路醫師資訊之內容分析研究」;邱炯友、李韻玟二位發表的「學位論文送存與授權大學圖書館之調查:台灣Open Access與產業政策再思」;Chris Fradkin “The Journey of a South American Scientific Journal: Its Quest for Global Publication”;以及W. M. Tharanga Dilruk Ranasinghe、Jun Min Chung的大作“Open Access Journal Publishing in Sri Lanka: Characteristics and Future Potential”等。

這些大作之中,我們由「網路醫師資訊之內容分析研究」及「學位論文送存與授權大學圖書館之調查:台灣Open Access與產業政策再思」二篇文章首發OPR模式,冀望在未來逐漸產生更多的良性互動,積累更多的OPR意見暨答辯論文,並帶動學術期刊另一種OPR模式的新紀元。

邱 炯友
教育資料與圖書館學 主編